

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
TAMPA DIVISION

RANDY ALLEN HART,
Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 8:21-cv-1985-WFJ-AEP

DOUGLAS E. JACKSON,
Defendant.

_____ /

ORDER

This cause comes before the Court on Plaintiff's civil rights complaint (Doc. 1). Plaintiff initiated this action on August 19, 2021, and, as of the date of this order, has not paid the filing fee. The Court, accordingly, treats this action as an *in forma pauperis* proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

The Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA") amended 28 U.S.C. § 1915 by adding the following subsection:

(g) In no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Thus, if a prisoner has had three or more cases dismissed for one of the recited reasons, he cannot proceed *in forma pauperis* and must pay the filing fee in full at the time the lawsuit is initiated. *Dupree v. Palmer*, 284 F.3d 1234,

1236 (11th Cir. 2002). Consequently, courts have a responsibility to dismiss cases, even *sua sponte*, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). *See, e.g., Casey v. Scott*, 493 F. App'x 1000, 1001 (11th Cir. 2012).


Plaintiff's prior cases, dismissed as either frivolous, malicious, or for the failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted include: *Hart v. Judd*, 8:11-cv-1590-T-33TBM, *Hart v. State of Florida*, 8:13-cv-2533-T-30MAP, *Hart v. Knight*, 8:16-cv-1337-T-33JSS, *Hart v. Hays*, 16-cv-1391-T-17TGW; *Hart v. Murphy*, 8:16-cv-1976-MSS-MAP; *Hart v. Fla. Dep't of Corr.*, 8:16-cv-2109-SDM-AEP; *Hart v. Auburndale Police Dep't*, 8:18-cv-2117-SDM-AEP; *Hart v. Auburndale Police Dep't*, 8:20-cv-1796-WFJ-TGW, and *Hart v. Grady Judd*, 8:21-cv-1620-WFJ-JSS.

Therefore, because he has had three prior dismissals that qualify under Section 1915(g) and because he has not sufficiently alleged that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury, Plaintiff is not entitled to proceed *in forma pauperis*. This preclusion against proceeding *in forma pauperis* is without regard to the merits of the present civil rights complaint. Plaintiff may initiate a new civil rights case by filing a civil rights complaint and paying the filing fee in full.

Accordingly, the case is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** to the filing of a new complaint, in a new case, with a new case number, upon the payment

of the filing fee. The **CLERK** is directed to enter judgment accordingly and to **CLOSE** this case.

DONE and **ORDERED** in Tampa, Florida on October 12, 2021.



WILLIAM F. JUNG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE